

### Johannes Breckenfelder

European Central Bank

Discussion of "Eurosystem's asset purchases and money market rates"

by Arrata, Nguyen, Rahmouni-Rousseau, and Vari

CEBRA Annual Meeting 2018 August 20, 2018

The views expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the European Central Bank or the Eurosystem.

### 1. Introduction

- research question & main findings
- 2. Comments / questions
  - economic mechanisms
  - empirical set-up

3. Summary

## 1. Introduction

www.ecb.europa.eu ©

#### This paper in a nutshell

- Research question: Do Eurosystem government bond purchases (PSPP) affect repo rates?
  - focus on "special" repo rates only (repo rates with a specific bond as collateral that are lower than General Collateral (GC) rates)
  - sample: January 2015 May 2017

- Answer: Yes, PSPP pushed down special repo rates, even below the deposit facility rate (DFR)
  - If 1% of the outstanding amount of a bond is purchased through the PSPP, its repo rate declines by 0.78 b.p.

## 2. Comments / questions

#### Comment 1: What are the mechanisms?

- Paper: (foreign) counterparties sell to Eurosystem → no access to DFR → place cash in repo market → push rates down (even below DFR)
  - wouldn't foreigners wanting to place cash simply go to the GC repo market?
  - why would they insist on lending cash against a specific bond (earning a lower interest rate)?
- Paper: counterparties for the PSPP trades are short-sellers, who then have to borrow a particular bond in the repo market
  - more intuitive... any hard evidence?
- Sharpen the discussion of the mechanisms

#### Comment 2: Which bonds are special?

- "Specials" trade at rates lower than GC rates
- During 2016, German bonds trade increasingly special

Proportion of trades at special rates (DE and IT govt bonds)



Note: A special trade is a trade at a rate below the respective GC rate minus 25 b.p. Source: ECB, based on MTS and BrokerTec data.

#### Comment 2: Which bonds are special?

• In 2016, German and French GC rates drop below the DFR:



- Eurosystem could not purchase bonds with yields < DFR until January 2017
  - effects of purchases indirect prior to 2017?

#### **Comment 3: Regression setup**

• Regression specification:

 $\Delta Repo \ rate_{i,t} = \beta \Delta PSPP_{i,t} + FE_i + FE_{countrybucket,t} + e_{i,t}$ 

- What is  $\beta$  really capturing?
- $PSPP_{i,t}$  is the cumulative purchases of an individual ISIN relative to the amount outstanding
  - $PSPP_{i,t}$  is mechanically zero for all bond yields below DFR (prior to 2017)... does  $\beta$  only capture effects on above-DFR repo rates?
- In particular for specials, it may matter how much of the amount outstanding is available to the market. Here,  $\Delta PSPP_{i,t}$ :
  - − going from 0% to 1% PSPP holdings is treated the same as going from 25% to 26% → robustness using  $PSPP_{i,t}$  or high-low holdings?

#### Comment 4 (more technical)

- Extensions of the baseline model need clarification
- For example, the model that differentiates between on-therun and off-the-run:
  - on-the-run should depend on time (and ISIN); include level, because it is not captured by the fixed effects
  - easy to fix!

#### Comment 5: Data

- 5 million repo trades, 1282 ISINs, January 2015 to May 2017 (about 600 trading days)
  - i.e., about 6.5 transactions a day for an average ISIN
  - unevenly distributed?
- It would appear so: after cleaning and winsorization, 800 ISINs
  - for each ISIN, calculate daily average repo price
  - would expect around 480,000 observations (~ 600 trading days x 800 ISINs)
  - instead: only around 200,000 daily observations  $\rightarrow$  many ISINs without daily transactions?
  - is this a panel with gaps? implications for the analysis?

# 3. Summary

#### Concluding remarks

- Contribution of the paper:
  - provide evidence for the PSPP impact on special reportates
- Authors might want to make clear what they do:
  - adjust the title of the paper as it is really about special bonds and not repo rates in general
  - clarify mechanisms at play
- A nice approach that could be developed further:
  - different measures of bonds available to the market
  - adjust empirical setup
  - update the dataset to capture more below-DFR purchases and re-run the analysis